SANDY LOAM OVER RED CLAY

General Description: Medium thickness hard red brown fine sandy loam abruptly overlying a well structured red clay, calcareous with depth

Landform: Lower slopes of undulating

rises and low hills.

Substrate: Association of fine grained

colluvium and deeply weathered rock

Vegetation:



Type Site: Site No.: CL044B

1:50,000 sheet: 6628-1 (Barossa) Hundred: Nuriootpa Annual rainfall: 505 mm Sampling date: 29/11/04

Landform: Lower slope of undulating low hill, 5% slope Surface: Hard setting with minor quartz stone (6-20 mm)

Soil Description:

Depth (cm) Description

0-18 Dark reddish brown hard fine sandy loam with

weak granular structure and minor quartz gravel

(6-20 mm). Clear to:

18-35 Dark reddish brown firm medium clay with strong

fine polyhedral structure. Clear to:

35-62 Dark reddish brown hard medium heavy clay with

strong fine polyhedral structure. Clear to:

62-100 Red firm highly calcareous light medium clay

with moderate medium polyhedral structure and more than 50% soft carbonate segregations.

Diffuse to:

Red firm moderately calcareous light clay with

weak medium polyhedral structure and 20-50%

soft carbonate segregations.

Classification: Haplic, Hypercalcic, Red Chromosol; medium, non-gravelly, loamy / clayey, deep



Summary of Properties

Drainage: Well drained. The soil is unlikely to remain saturated for more than a couple of days

following heavy or prolonged rainfall.

Fertility: Inherent fertility is moderately high, as indicated by the exchangeable cation data.

The surface soil has satisfactory nutrient retention capacity, while subsoil reserves of calcium, magnesium and potassium are high. Of the tested nutrients, only zinc is

possibly deficient.

pH: Alkaline at the surface (due to deliberate or incidental lime application), neutral in the

subsurface, and alkaline with depth. Surface soil would be slightly acidic in natural

state.

Rooting depth: 120 cm in pit, but few roots below 100 cm.

Barriers to root growth:

Physical: There are no significant physical barriers.

Chemical: There are no apparent chemical barriers apart from marginally high salinity from 62

cm.

Water holding capacity: (Estimates for potential root zone of irrigated crops)

Total available: 160 mm Readily available: 70 mm

Seedling emergence: Fair to satisfactory, depending on degree of hard setting at the surface. Gypsum will

improve condition.

Workability: Fair to satisfactory, depending on degree of hard setting. Soil is likely to shatter if

worked too dry, or puddle if worked too wet. Gypsum will improve workability.

Erosion Potential

Water: Moderate, due to slope.

Wind: Low.

Laboratory Data

| Depth cm | pH H ₂ O | pH CaC1 ₂ | CO ₃ | EC 1:5 dS/m | ECe dS/m | Org.C % | Avail. P | Avail. K | Cl mg/kg | | Boron mg/kg | Trace Elements mg/kg (EDTA) | | | Sum cations | Exchangeable Catio cmol(+)/kg | | | itions | Est. ESP | |
|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------|----------------|--------------------------------|-----|------|-------------|-------------------------------|------|------|--------|-------------|-----|
| | | | | | | | mg/kg | mg/kg | | | | Cu | Fe | Mn | Zn | cmol (+)/kg | Ca | Mg | Na | K | |
| 0-18 | 7.9 | 7.2 | 0 | 0.102 | 0.96 | 0.87 | 42 | 538 | 32 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 8.47 | 111 | 259 | 3.15 | 9.5 | 6.75 | 1.08 | 0.39 | 1.28 | 4.1 |
| 18-35 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 0 | 0.173 | 1.07 | 0.72 | 5 | 499 | 36 | 69.2 | 1.0 | 5.22 | 57 | 126 | 0.36 | 23.2 | 15.2 | 6.11 | 0.63 | 1.19 | 2.7 |
| 35-62 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 0 | 0.240 | 2.00 | 0.54 | 3 | 453 | 125 | 127 | 1.1 | 5.36 | 52 | 132 | 0.29 | 27.9 | 17.2 | 8.99 | 0.63 | 1.11 | 2.3 |
| 62-100 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 28.8 | 0.234 | 1.24 | 0.24 | 2 | 431 | 70 | 32.0 | 1.1 | 1.65 | 9 | 12.4 | 0.22 | 25.4 | 16.1 | 7.64 | 0.56 | 1.05 | 2.2 |
| 100-140 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 13.6 | 0.150 | 0.58 | 0.10 | 2 | 466 | 21 | 7.1 | 1.6 | 1.30 | 11 | 4.25 | 0.45 | 22.1 | 12.4 | 8.13 | 0.58 | 1.06 | 2.6 |

Note: Sum of cations, in a neutral to alkaline soil, approximates the CEC (cation exchange capacity), a measure of the soil's capacity to store and release major nutrient elements.

ESP (exchangeable sodium percentage) is derived by dividing the exchangeable sodium value by the CEC, in this case estimated by the sum of cations.